

# ***Advancing Health Outcomes Measurement***

***Joseph Lipscomb, PhD  
Outcomes Research Branch  
National Cancer Institute***

- U.S. Federal Agency Activity (actual, potential)
- **Perspectives on Building, Testing, and Improving an Item Bank for Chronic Disease Patient-Reported Outcomes (PROs)**
- Some Enduring Challenges in Understanding and Applying PROs

# Trans-NIH RoadMap Initiative:

## ***DYNAMIC ASSESSMENT OF PATIENT-REPORTED CHRONIC DISEASE OUTCOMES***

- \$25 Million, 5-Year Cooperative Agreement between NIH and Investigators.
- Goal: Improve assessment of self-reported symptoms and other domains of health-related quality of life across a wide range of chronic diseases.
- Create a publicly available, adaptable and sustainable Internet-based system, the ***Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System (PROMIS)*** -- that will:
  - Administer CAT-based assessments
  - Collect PRO data
  - Provide instant reports to patient, providers, and researchers.
- Lay groundwork for public-private partnership to extend the PROMIS beyond its five-year development stage

# Additional DHHS Activities

- Studies involving IRT modeling, DIF testing, item banking, and CAT development are being supported by many of the DHHS agencies, institutes, and centers, including:
  - National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases (NIAMS)
  - National Institute for Child Health and Human Development (NICHD)
  - National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (NINDS)
  - National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH)
  - National Institute on Aging (NIA)
  - National Cancer Institute (NCI)
  - Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ)

# NCI Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR) Contract Project

## *Developing IRT Software for Health Outcomes and Behavioral Science Measurement*

- To develop and/or adapt software that employs both traditional and modern measurement methods to respond to the needs of health outcomes, health surveillance, and behavioral science researchers.
- Key features:
  - **User-friendly**
    - Both the software and supporting literature needs to be translated into terms that are palatable to researchers using appropriate examples from this field.
    - Full use of the features provided by modern computer operating systems including instant on-line help.
    - Sophisticated graphics capabilities.
  - **Flexible**
    - Models a variety of IRT models including:
      - Dichotomous and polytomous models
      - Multi-parameter models.
    - Provides multiple fit indices.
  - **Integrated modules for**
    - DIF assessment
    - Linking
    - CAT

# *What Else Can the Federal Sector Do To Improve PRO Measurement?*

- Issue additional PAs, RFAs, and RFPs (for directed methodological and applied studies)
- Support investigator-initiated projects
- Provide scientific consultation to public and private efforts to develop and use PROs
- Support additional conferences, workshops, or consensus development activities

# *Building, Testing, Improving an Item Bank for Chronic Disease PROs*

To “keep the PROMIS” and achieve the potential benefits, what must be accomplished?

- After you build it, test it.
  - Validation
  - Head-to-head comparison studies
- Maintain, improve item bank over time
  - New items
  - Consider “branch banks” for specific diseases (?)
  - Encourage methods studies, e.g., multidimensionality issues, preference vs. non-preference-based measures, “expansive” efforts at construct validation

# *Building, Testing, Improving an Item Bank for Chronic Disease PROs*

To “keep the PROMIS” and achieve the potential benefits, what must be accomplished?

- Don't stifle start-up efforts to build “competing” PRO banks
- Ongoing public and private support may be required for national item bank for CAT -- at least until adequate field testing completed
- All the while, encourage a range of item bank / CAT applications to PROs -- not only in clinical research, but patient-provider decision making

# ***Building, Testing, Improving an Item Bank for Chronic Disease PROs***

To achieve these potential benefits, what must happen?

- Adequate funding (public, private, or both)
- Regulatory agencies and other decision makers that face a choice about whether to accept CAT-generated PROs should generate clearly articulated decision criteria
- Incentive structure must encourage strong, diverse contributions from investigator community: need top-flight researchers and candidate items for bank

# *Building, Testing, Improving an Item Bank for Chronic Disease PROs*

To achieve these potential benefits, what must happen?

- Federal research review groups (including study sections) should take balanced approach: dispassionate assessment of IRT-based applications including item bank/PROs, while maintaining flexibility to accept high-quality CTT and other “traditional” approaches.

# *Some Enduring Challenges in Understanding and Applying PROs*

- Value-added of PROs beyond traditional biomedical endpoints for decision making
- Continued probing of the “minimally important difference” (MID) in PROs -- investigating if IRT facilitates (or complicates) the issue.
- Construct validation of PROs (in the spirit of anchor-based approaches to MID) employing range of measures: utility-based, WTP, as well as biomedical outcomes
- IRT’s (and CTT’s) unidimensionality assumption, the multidimensionality of many PROs, and the oft noted desire for multidimensional summary score: a dilemma?
- Selecting PROs that successfully negotiate the balance between adequate **sensitivity** for the study at hand and **comparability** across studies.
- Need more longitudinal analyses of PROs (e.g., response shift)

***The Opportunity  
And  
The Commitment***